PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:					PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
			······································	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
	oplicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
_	national application T/GB2004/00308		International filing date 16.07.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18.07.2003	
_		_	oth national classification	n and IPC	1 - •· ···	
·	1L9/03, A01M1/20					
• •	icant CKITT BENCKISER PTY LIMITED					
\mathbf{R} \mathbf{F}		1				
RE(
· · ·	This opinion co	ontains indicatio	ns relating to the fo	llowing items:		
· · ·	This opinion co	ontains indicatio	ns relating to the fo	llowing items:		
· · ·	This opinion co Box No. I Box No. II	ontains indicatio Basis of the opi Priority	ns relating to the fo		ntive step and industrial applicability	
· · ·	This opinion co Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III	ontains indication Basis of the opinity Non-establishm	ns relating to the fo		ntive step and industrial applicability	
<u>-</u>	This opinion co Box No. I Box No. II	Dontains indication Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state	ns relating to the fonds of opinion with regiment invention	gard to novelty, inve	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
<u>-</u>	This opinion co Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV	Dontains indication Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state	ns relating to the formion with regiment under Rule 43b ations and explanation	gard to novelty, inve	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
· · ·	This opinion co Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V	Dontains indication Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cital	ns relating to the formion with regiment under Rule 43b ations and explanation	gard to novelty, inve is.1(a)(i) with regard ns supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
· · ·	This opinion co Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V	Dontains indication Basis of the oping Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cital Certain defects	ns relating to the formion with region invention ement under Rule 43b ations and explanation ents cited	gard to novelty, invention in the state of t	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
1.	This opinion co Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Dontains indication Basis of the oping Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cital Certain docume Certain defects Certain observation	ns relating to the formion ent of opinion with regiment under Rule 43b ations and explanation ents cited in the international approximational approximation appro	gard to novelty, invention in the state of t	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
1.	This opinion co Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII TURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant che	Dontains indication Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cital Certain docume Certain defects Certain observation ION International prelimation ooses an Authority reau under Rule 6	ns relating to the formion ent of opinion with regiment under Rule 43b ations and explanation ents cited in the international apartions on the international apartions on the internation is a Preliminary Examinity other than this one	gard to novelty, invents. 1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such supporting sup	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
1.	This opinion co Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant chelnternational Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IP	Dontains indication Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cital Certain docume Certain defects Certain observation ION International prelimation of the International coses an Authority reau under Rule 6 Insidered as provided above EA a written reply of date of mailing of	ns relating to the formion ent of opinion with regiment under Rule 43b ations and explanation ents cited in the international apations on the international apations on the international ents of the international ents of the international ents of the internation is a Preliminary Examinity other than this one is 6.1 bis(b) that written we, considered to be a together, where appropriate to the ents of the considered to be a together, where appropriate to the ents of the considered to be a together, where appropriate to the ents of the considered to be a together, where appropriate to the ents of the considered to be a together, where appropriate to the ents of the considered to the ents of the considered to be a together.	gard to novelty, inventional application on al application on the IPEA and to be the IPEA and the top interest the IPEA and the IPE	to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement will usually be considered to be a). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the	
1.	This opinion co Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant challend in the applicant challend in the applicant challend in the solution of the applicant challend in the appl	Dontains indication Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cital Certain docume Certain defects Certain observation ION International prelimation of the International coses an Authority reau under Rule 6 Insidered as provided above EA a written reply of date of mailing of	ns relating to the formion ent of opinion with regiment under Rule 43b ations and explanation ents cited in the international apations on the internation in the int	gard to novelty, inventional application on al application on the IPEA and to be the IPEA and the top interest the IPEA and the IPE	will usually be considered to be a). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the rnational Searching Authority The IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three	
1.	This opinion co Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant challenge will not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IP months from the whichever expired.	Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cital Certain docume Certain defects Certain defects Certain observational preliminational preliminational preliminations an Authority reau under Rule 6 insidered. As provided above the application of the International prelimination observation observation of the International prelimination of the International prelimination of the International prelimination of the Internation observation of the Internation of th	ns relating to the formion ent of opinion with regiment under Rule 43b ations and explanation ents cited in the international apations on the internation in the int	gard to novelty, inventional application on al application on the IPEA and to be the IPEA and the top interest the IPEA and the IPE	will usually be considered to be a). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the rnational Searching Authority The IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 :

Authorized Officer

de Biasio, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8627



10/565055

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003083

IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 17 JAN 2006

		THE TOTAL COURT				
	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion '				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With r	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:					
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:					
		in written format				
		in computer readable form .				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	h C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additi	onal comments:				

REITEM V

- US-A-2002/0068010 (D1) discloses a portable device (20) for enabling vapourization of a chemical formulation into an atmosphere (cf Figure 1) comprising:
 - means for receiving a cartridge, the cartridge storing the chemical formulation (cf [0052], II. 5-6);
 - heater means (cf ref. sign 132 in figure 11) for contacting the chemical formulation and vapourizing said chemical formulation when the cartridge is inserted into the receiving means and the heater means is energised (cf [0122] and [0123]);
 - aperture means to enable vapourized chemical formulation to be guided therethrough into the atmosphere

D1 appears to disclose all the features of claim 1 and their combinations, i.e. the subject-matter of claim 1 lacks novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT).

2. Similar objections under Art. 33(2) can be made considering the other documents cited in the search report:

GB-A-2.347.860 (D2): see claim 1;

US-A-2002/70114744 (D3): see figures 1-3, [0090];

US-B-6.197263 (D4): see claim 1;

US-A-2002/0192255 (D5): see figures 4A, 4B, 4C and 5, claim 21.

3. Dependent claims 2-22 do not seem to include additional technical features able to render their subject-matter new (Art. 33(2) PCT) and/or inventive (Art. 33(3) PCT).

D1 (cf [0126]) appears to disclose all the features mentioned in dependent claims 4-8 (Art. 33(2) PCT). Furthermore, no inventive step can be seen in the additional technical feature of claim 9 (Art. 33(3) PCT).

The additional technical features of claim 10 should also be known from D1 (cf figure 15).

D2 seems to disclose the additional technical features of dependent claims 2-3, 10-19 (Art. 33(2) PCT). The device of D2 includes electrical circuitry that

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international phulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

£ 20

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims, it should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)